



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,052	11/14/2003	Yong-Joon Cho	SEC.1063	9035
20/987 7590 03/03/2008 VOLENTINE & WHITT PLLC ONE FREEDOM SQUARE 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE SUITE 1260 RESTON, VA 20190				
EXAMINER				
AHMED, SIAMIM				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1792				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/03/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/712,052

Applicant(s)

CHO ET AL.

Examiner

Shamim Ahmed

Art Unit

1792

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 December 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5,8-10 and 12-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5,8-10 and 12-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/17/08
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/05/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that Sun fails to disclose the limitation of "performing the dry etching to etch the first interlayer insulating layer until portions of the etch stop layer disposed over the source region, the drain region and the side wall spacers are exposed" because fig.8 of Sun shows the dielectric layer 96 remains covering the portion of the etch stop layer 90 disposed over source/drain region 82.

In response to the argument, examiner states that the argument is not persuasive because portions of source/drain regions (80 and 84) are exposed after etching even though the source/drain region 82 is covered with the insulating layer 96 and also the spacer 64 is exposed after the etching process (figure 8).

As to the etching of buffer layer with wet etching, examiner pointed out that Sun illustrates that the etching stop layer along with the dielectric layer can be etched using plasma or alternatively, wet etching can be performed to remove the etch stop layer and cleaned (col.9, 5-13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art to replace wet etching, which is less expensive than the dry (plasma) etch.

Therefore, Sun teaching reads on the claimed limitation and the rejection is repeated herein below:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tseng (US 5,926,710) in view of Sun et al. (US 6,010,931).

Tseng discloses a method of making DRAM cells by forming node contact openings **2** in the second **24** and first insulating **22** layers in a FET (field effect transistor) on a substrate **10**, forming a planar insulating layer **24** over FETs and etching contact openings in each FET (Abstract; column 3, line 52 - column 5, line 40; and **FIG.**

2). The aforementioned reads on,




FIG. 2

A method for fabricating a semiconductor device, the method comprising:

Providing a semiconductor substrate **10** having a device formation region (column 1, lines 7-11 and column 3, lines 52-62); and

forming a gate **14** on the device formation region of the semiconductor substrate **10**, and forming source and drain regions in the device formation region of the semiconductor substrate adjacent respective sides of the gate, wherein the gate comprises a gate dielectric layer **14**, a gate conductive layer **16** and sidewall spacers **20** located at respective sidewalls of the gate conductive layer **16** (column 1, lines 7-11; column 3, lines 52-62; and column 4, lines 30-44).

Tseng teaches insulating layer **22** (same as Applicant's buffer layer and etch stop layers), composed of a lower SiO_2 layer and an upper Si_3N_4 layer that is conformally deposited over device areas and field oxide area **12** (column 5, lines 24-27), which reads on,

sequentially forming a buffer layer and an etch stop layer over the source region, the drain region and the gate to obtain an intermediate structure; and forming a buffer layer **22** over the source region **17**, **19**, the drain region **17**, **19** and the sidewall spacers **20** of the gate;

forming an etch stop layer on the buffer layer to obtain an intermediate structure (column 5, lines 24-28); and

forming a planarized first interlayer insulating film **24** over a surface of the intermediate structure (column 5, lines 34-36).

Tseng also teaches performing dry etching process (same as plasma etching) to etch the first insulating layer **24** until the etch stop layer **22** is exposed to form self-aligned contact holes **2** in the first interlayer insulating **24** (column 5, lines 37-41).

Tseng further teaches conventional photolithographic techniques to form node contact openings **2** in the second **24** and first **22** insulating layers to the source/drain contact areas (column 5, lines 36-40).

It is noted, Tseng shows polysilicon layer **26** is deposited over the contact opening **2** before depositing insulating layer **28** over layer **26** (column 5, lines 45-60),

Art Unit: 1792

which reads on, forming respective contact pads by filling the self-aligned contact hole with conductive polysilicon.

Tseng differs in failing to teach performing the dry etching process to etch the first interlayer insulating film until portions of the etch stop layer disposed over the source region, the drain region and the sidewall spacers are exposed to form self-aligned contact holes in the first interlayer insulating film over the source region and the drain region, respectively; and

wet etching the buffer layer and the etch stop layer to expose the source region, the drain region and the sidewall spacers.

Sun illustrates in FIG. 8, a method of plasma etching (same as dry etching) openings thru dielectric layer **94** to etch stop layer **90** and leaving portions of dielectric layer **96** over other parts of the device (column 8, line - column 9, line 3). One can see the other parts of the device include the sidewall **64**, source/drain regions **80, 82, and 84**, as shown in FIG. 8. Hence, the aforementioned reads on dry etching process to etch the first interlayer insulating film until portions of the etch stop layer disposed over the source region, the drain region and the sidewall spacers are exposed to form self-aligned contact holes in the first interlayer insulating film over the source region and the drain region.

Sun also teaches plasma etching the etch stop layer **90** and alternatively wet etching the etch stop layer from within the openings in the dielectric layer. The latter etch step reads on wet etching the buffer layer and the etch stop layer. Sun further teaches after the etching steps not only the surfaces of the source, drain regions **80, 84**

but also the spacer **64** are exposed (column 9, lines 5-8) which thereby reads on, wet etching the buffer layer and the etch stop layer to expose the source region, the drain region and the sidewall spacers.

Since the combination of Tseng and Sun uses the same method of etching the same insulation film over an intermediate structure as claimed by Applicants, then using Tseng's etching method in the same manner as in the claimed invention would result the same wherein the first interlayer insulating film has an etching rate slower than the etching rate of the buffer layer relative to a defined dry etching process.

Hence, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tseng by using Sun's method of etching a self align contact for the purpose of etching without conducting a cleaning step after etching (Sun, column 9, lines 10-13).

4. Claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tseng (US '710) in view of Sun (US '931) and further in view of Tomita (US 6,806,549 B2).

As to claims 1 and 5, Tseng discloses a method of fabricating a semiconductor device as described above in claim 21 and further discloses

wherein the wet etching of the etch stop layer comprises: removing oxide film remnants on the etch stop layer by wet etching by with an oxide etchant; and removing the etch stop layer using an oxide etching solution or a nitride etching solution, (column 7, lines 7-11), **in claim 12**; and

wherein the nitride etching solution includes phosphoric acid, H_3PO_4 (column 7, lines 7-11), **in claim 14.**

Tseng also teaches wherein the gate **16** is formed to further comprise a hard mask **18** (same as Tseng's cap oxide **18**) on a surface of the gate conductive layer **16** (column 4, lines 54-57), **in claim 2;** and

wherein the etch stop layer **22** is formed of silicon nitride by chemical vapor deposition, (column 5, lines 24-31), **in claim 10.**

Tseng in view of Sun further differ in failing to teach wherein the first interlayer insulating film is silicon oxide film formed by high-density plasma chemical vapor deposition, **in claim 1.**

Tomita discloses, "A silicon oxide film (hereinafter called an "HDP oxide film") formed by means of, e.g., the high-density chemical vapor deposition (HDPCVD) method is taken as the plasma silicon oxide film" (column 4, lines 61-64).

Since Tomita illustrates forming silicon oxide film by HDPCVD) is known, then it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ Tomita's method of depositing an oxide layer because such method is used in the manufacturing of semiconductor devices (column 2, lines 59-61).

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tseng (US '710) in view of Sun (US '931), and Tomita (US '549 B1) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of DeBoer et al. (US 2002/016830 A1).

Tseng in view Tomita and Sun fail to teach wherein the sidewall spacer is formed of silicon nitride by chemical vapor deposition.

DeBoer teaches dielectric spacers may be formed of CVD nitride or oxide layer [0037].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tseng's oxide spacer with DeBoer's CVD silicon nitride layer, since the oxide and silicon nitride materials of these layers are seen as equivalent because such materials used to form spacers (DeBoer, [0037]).

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tseng (US '710) in view Sun (US '931) and Tomita (US '549) as applied to claims 1 and 5 above, and further in view of Hashimoto (US 6,010,955).

Tseng in view Sun and Tomita differs in failing to teach wherein the buffer layer is formed of silicon oxide by thermal oxidation, **in claim 8**.

Hashimoto teaches, "...a buffer silicon dioxide layer may be provided before the contact lithography to prevent contact between the resist pattern 150 and substrate 105. In this case, a thin silicon dioxide layer (not shown) having a thickness of about 100 Å is formed by thermal oxidation on diffusion regions 122 of FIG. 3(a) . . . " (column 4, lines 42-47).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tseng in view Sun and Tomita by using Hashimoto's

buffer layer for the purpose of preventing contact between the substrate and a layer (resist pattern) above the substrate (Hashimoto, column 4, lines 42-47).

7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tseng (US '710) in view Sun (US '931), Tomita (US '549 B2), and Hashimoto (US 955) as applied to claims 1, 5, and 8 above, and further in view of Lu (US 6,479,341 B1).

Tseng in view of Sun, Tomita, and Hashimoto differ in failing to teach wherein the buffer layer is formed of a mid-temperature oxide (MTO) by low-pressure chemical vapor deposition.

Lu discloses, "A first insulator layer of silicon oxide **9**, is next deposited using LPCVD or PECVD procedures, at a temperature between about 200 to 600 °C" (column 4, lines 16-18), which is formed of the same material, by the same method, and within the same temperature range as Applicants' MTO buffer as specified in the Specification [0020].

Since Lu illustrates forming an oxide layer, which is the same material as Applicants' buffer layer and under the similar conditions as claimed by Applicant, then it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to modify Tseng in view Sun, Tomita, and Hashimoto by using Lu's method of forming an oxide layer, which is the same as Applicant's MTO buffer because such method is used in forming semiconductor devices (column 2, lines 18-23).

8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tseng (US '710) in view of Sun (US '931) and Tomita (US '549 B2), as applied to claims 1 and 12 above and further in view of Chang et al. (US 5,817,562).

Tseng in view of Sun and Tomita differ in failing to teach wherein the oxide etching solution includes a concentration of diluted hydrofluoric acid (HF) having a density of 0.01 wt % through 0.001 wt %.

However, Chang illustrates an oxide etching solution, which includes hydrofluoric acid. (column 6, lines 59-64) is known. Hence it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to select any proportion of wt % of HF in the Chang reference, including the concentration range of wt % of HF as claimed by Applicants, that would effectively accomplish the disclosed composition in the absence of unexpected result because such etchant is used in conventional wet etching to form source/drain contact openings in an oxide **32** layer (Chang, column 6, lines 59-62).

9. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tseng (US '710) in view of Sun (US '931) and Tomita (US '549 B2) as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of and Lo (US 5,779,927).

Tseng in view of Sun and Tomita differs in failing to teach wherein the concentration of phosphoric acid H_3PO_4 is 50 wt % through 80 wt %, **in claim 15**.

Lo teaches and illustrates silicon nitride can be etched at a range of phosphoric acid concentrations between 0 and 95 weight % (column 4, lines 38-43).

Hence it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to select any proportion of wt % of H_3PO_4 in the Lo reference, including the concentration range of wt % of H_3PO_4 as specifically claimed by Applicant for the purpose of etching at high temperatures (column 2, lines 38-40).

Art Unit: 1792

10. Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tseng (US 710) in view of Sun (US 931), Tomita (US '549 B2) as applied to claims 1 and 5 above, and further in view of Kim et al. (US-PGPUB 2002/0064968 A1).

Tseng in view of Sun and Tomita differs in failing to teach wherein the buffer layer is removed using an etching solution including ammonium hydroxide (NH_4OH), hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), and deionized water, **in claim 16**;

wherein the etching solution includes a concentration of ammonium hydroxide (NH_4OH) ranging from about 0.1 wt % through 1.0 wt %, **in claim 17**;

wherein the etching solution includes a concentration of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) ranging from about 4.0 wt % through 7.0 wt %, **in claim 18**;

wherein the wet etching is performed at a temperature of 30°C through 80°C, **in claim 19**.

Kim teaches wet etching hole spacers formed of a layer of a MTO (which is the same material as applicants' buffer layer) using a mixture of NH_4OH and H_2O_2 to remove native oxides formed on the surface of the substrate as well as to remove contaminants remaining in the contact holes ([0030, line 6 - 0031, line 6]). Also since Kim is silent as to the etching temperature, then one can assume that the etching is carried out at standard operating conditions of 25°C and 1 atm.

Since Kim illustrates removing a buffer layer using applicants' specific combination of NH_4OH and H_2O_2 is known, then it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select any proportion of wt % and temperature in the Kim reference that would effectively accomplish the disclosed composition in the absence of unexpected results because such etchants

are used in removing native oxides and contaminants remaining in contact holes (Kim, [0031]).

11. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tseng (US 710) in view of Sun (US '931) and Tomita (US '549 B2) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kim et al. (US 6,342,416 B1).

Tseng in view of Sun and Tomita further differs in failing to teach filling the self-aligned contact holes by depositing the conductive polysilicon over an entirety of the surface of the semiconductor substrate; and

chemical mechanical polishing the conductive polysilicon in the self-aligned contact holes down to a level of an upper portion of the first interlayer insulating film, and **in claim 20.**

Kim teaches polysilicon layer **114** is formed of conductive material, formed on first interlevel dielectric layer **112** including the first contact hole, and is chemically mechanically polished until the top surface of the dielectric layer **112** is exposed to form a bit line contact plug that is connected to the drain and source region of semiconductor substrate (column 3, lines 1-10 and FIG. 1 and 2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to modify Tseng in view of Tomita and Lo by using Kim's method of filling and polishing a conductive material for the purpose of forming a contact plug that is connected to the drain and source region of semiconductor substrate (Kim, column 3, lines 4-10 and FIG. 1 and 2).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shamim Ahmed whose telephone number is (571) 272-1457. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri (12:30-10:30) Every Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G. Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Shamim Ahmed/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

SA
February 21, 2008